

In response to the Examiner's restriction/election requirement, Applicants elect, with traverse, to prosecute Group II including claims 23-41. Applicants specifically reserve the right to file a divisional application directed to non elected claims 1-22.

With respect to Applicants traversal, Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner's attention to M.P.E.P. § 803 which states:

"If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine on the merits, even though it includes claims too distinct or independent invention." (emphasis added)

There are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction. The invention should be independent or distinct, and

"2) there must be a serious burden on the Examiner if a restriction is not required. See M.P.E.P. §803.092, 806.04 A through J, 808.01(a) and 808.02."

Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner would not be unduly burdened if forced to examine Groups I and II.

For all of the above stated reasons, reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding restriction/election requirement and favorable allowance of all claims in the instant application are earnestly solicited.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Very truly yours,

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, PLC

By 

John A. Castellano, Reg. 35,094  
P.O. Box 8910  
Reston, VA 20195  
(703) 668-8000

JAC/cah